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## Summary

In this paper, we consider one-equation models of turbulence with turbulent-viscosity $v_{T}=\ell \sqrt{k}$ ( $\ell=$ length scale, $k=$ mean turbulent kinetic energy). The following system of two parabolic equations represents a simplified model for the turbulent flow of an incompressible fluid through a pipe with cross-section $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ :

$$
\left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-\operatorname{div}(\sqrt{k} \nabla u)=0, \quad \frac{\partial k}{\partial t}-\operatorname{div}((\mu+\sqrt{k}) \nabla k)=\sqrt{k}|\nabla u|^{2}-k \sqrt{k} \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega \times\right] 0, T[
$$

where $\mu=$ const $>0$. Here, the differential equation on the left is degenerate due to the coefficient $\sqrt{k}$.
We prove the existence of a weak solution $(u, k)$ of this system under homogeneous boundary conditions and initial conditions $u(0)=u_{0}$ and $k(0)=k_{0}$. The pair $(u, k)$ exhibits the phenomenon of turbulence as follows. If

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t>0
$$

then there exists a set $\left.Q^{*} \subset \Omega \times\right] 0, T$ [ such that

$$
\operatorname{mes} Q^{*}>0, \quad k>0 \quad \text { a.e. in } Q^{*}
$$
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## Riassunto

In questo articolo consideriamo modelli di turbolenza ad un'equazione con viscosità di turbolenza $v_{T}=\ell \sqrt{k}$ ( $\ell=$ scala di lunghezza, $k=$ energia cinetica media di turbolenza). Il seguente sistema di due equazioni paraboliche rappresenta un modello semplificato per il flusso turbolento di un fluido incomprimibile attraverso un tubo con sezione trasversale $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ :

$$
\left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-\operatorname{div}(\sqrt{k} \nabla u)=0, \quad \frac{\partial k}{\partial t}-\operatorname{div}((\mu+\sqrt{k}) \nabla k)=\sqrt{k}|\nabla u|^{2}-k \sqrt{k} \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega \times\right] 0, T[
$$

dove $\mu=$ cost $>0$. Qui l'equazione differenziale a sinistra è degenere a causa del coefficiente $\sqrt{k}$.

[^0]Noi proviamo l'esistenza di una soluzione debole ( $u, k$ ) di questo sistema con condizioni al bordo omogenee e condizioni iniziali $u(0)=u_{0}$ e $k(0)=k_{0}$. Tale soluzione $(u, k)$ mostra il fenomeno di turbolenza nel seguente modo. Se

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t>0
$$

allora esiste un insieme $\left.Q^{*} \subset \Omega \times\right] 0, T[$ tale che

$$
\operatorname{mis} Q^{*}>0, \quad k>0 \quad \text { q.o. in } Q^{*}
$$

Parole chiave: Equazioni paraboliche degeneri (35K65), soluzioni deboli (35D30), modello di viscosità di turbolenza (76F99), uguaglianza di energia locale (35D99)
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## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Turbulent-viscosity models

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ denote a domain, let $0<T<+\infty$ and set $\left.Q_{T}:=\Omega \times\right] 0, T[$. We consider the following system of PDEs

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u}=0 \quad \text { in } \quad Q_{T},  \tag{1.1}\\
& \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial t}+(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{u}=\operatorname{div}((v+\ell \sqrt{k}) \boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{u}))-\nabla p+\boldsymbol{f} \text { in } Q_{T},  \tag{1.2}\\
& \frac{\partial k}{\partial t}+\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla k=\operatorname{div}((\mu+\ell \sqrt{k}) \nabla k)+\ell \sqrt{k}|\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{u})|^{2}-\frac{k \sqrt{k}}{\ell} \text { in } Q_{T}, \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where the unknowns are
$\boldsymbol{u}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)$ mean velocity field,
$p=$ modified mean pressure,
$k=$ mean turbulent kinetic energy.

If $(\boldsymbol{u}, p, k)$ is a solution to (1.1)-(1.3) then the turbulent motion of the fluid is characterized by the velocity field $\boldsymbol{U}=\boldsymbol{u}+\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}$, where $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}$ denotes the fluctuation of the motion. The mean turbulent kinetic energy is then specified by

$$
k=\frac{1}{2} \overline{\left.\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}\right|^{2}} \quad\left(=\text { mean of } \frac{1}{2}|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}|^{2}\right) .
$$

Further notations in (1.2) and (1.3) are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{u})=\left\{D_{i j}(\boldsymbol{u})\right\}_{i, j=1,2,3}=\left(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}+(\nabla \boldsymbol{u})^{\top}\right), \quad|\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{u})|^{2}=D_{i j}(\boldsymbol{u}) D_{i j}(\boldsymbol{u})^{1} \\
& \boldsymbol{f} \\
&=\text { given external force } \\
& v=\text { const } \geq 0, \quad \mu=\text { const } \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The turbulent-viscosity $v_{T}$ of the fluid is modelled by the Boussinesq hypothesis

$$
v_{T}=\ell \sqrt{k}, \quad \ell=\text { turbulent length scale (mixing length). }
$$

In (1.3), the term $\ell \sqrt{k}|\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{u})|^{2}$ represents the rate of transferring turbulent kinetic energy from the mean flow to the turbulence, while the sink term $-\frac{k \sqrt{k}}{\ell}$ models the decay of energy (dissipation) of the turbulence. The characteristic length scale $\ell$ depends on the flow under consideration and its Reynolds number Re. Thus, $\ell$ is an unspecified part of (1.1)-(1.3).
For a detailed discussion of mean-flow equations and turbulent-viscosity models we refer to [16], [17], [23].

In case of the fully developed turbulence, the coefficients $v=\mu=\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}$ can be neglected. Then (1.2), (1.3) take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial t}+(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{u}=\operatorname{div}(\ell \sqrt{k} \boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{u}))-\nabla p+\boldsymbol{f} \text { in } Q_{T},  \tag{1.4}\\
& \frac{\partial k}{\partial t}+\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla k=\operatorname{div}(\ell \sqrt{k} \nabla k)+\ell \sqrt{k}|\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{u})|^{2}-\frac{k \sqrt{k}}{\ell} \text { in } Q_{T}, \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

in $Q_{T}$, respectively. System (1.1), (1.4), (1.5) is usually called Prandtl's (1945) one-equation model of turbulence. We notice that equ. (1.5) has been directly postulated by Prandtl [20] for one space dimension (cf. also [1], [18], [19] for more details). Moreover, (1.4) does not explicitly occur in [20]. Indeed, Prandtl only mentioned that the rate of $\operatorname{strain} \boldsymbol{\tau}=\ell \sqrt{k} \boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{u})$ can be "determined by the velocity field $\boldsymbol{u}$ from the Euler equation to which the term $\operatorname{div}(\ell \sqrt{k} \boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{u}))$ is added" (cf. [20; p. 11]); notice that $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{i j} D_{i j}(\boldsymbol{u})=\ell \sqrt{k}|\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{u})|^{2}$ ).

Remark 1.1 Let $\Omega$ be bounded. The following assumptions on the turbulent length scale $\ell$ include many examples which are widely used in the literature:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell \in C(\bar{\Omega}) ; \quad \ell(x)>0 \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad \ell(x)=0 \quad \forall x \in \partial \Omega . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function

$$
\ell(x)=\kappa_{0}(\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega))^{\alpha}, \quad x \in \bar{\Omega} \quad\left(\kappa_{0}=\text { const }>0, \alpha=\text { const }>0\right)
$$

clearly obeys (1.6) (cf., e. g., [16; pp. 302-306, 378-389 for $\alpha=1]$ ).
Remark 1.2 A partial differential equation that governs the turbulent length scale $\ell$, has been derived in [21].

[^1]
### 1.2 Turbulent motion through a pipe

Let $\Omega_{0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a bounded domain, and define $\left.\Omega:=\Omega_{0} \times\right] 0, a\left[\right.$ ( $=$ "pipe with cross-section $\Omega_{0}$ and length $0<a<+\infty$ " ). Put

$$
\left.x=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right), \quad x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Omega_{0}, \quad x_{3} \in\right] 0, a[.
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{f}=\mathbf{0}$. In $\left.Q_{T}=\Omega \times\right] 0, T$ [ we consider a flow that is driven by a pressure difference between $\Omega_{0} \times\{0\}(=$ inlet $)$ and $\Omega_{0} \times\{a\}(=$ outlet $)$, i. e., more specifically

$$
\left.p(x, t)=-g(t) x_{3}, \quad g(t)>0 \quad \text { given on } \quad\right] 0, T[.
$$

This leads to unknown functions $(\boldsymbol{u}, k)$ of the structure

$$
\boldsymbol{u}(x, t)=\left(0,0, u_{3}\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)\right), \quad k(x, t)=k\left(x^{\prime}, t\right) \quad\left((x, t) \in Q_{T}\right) .
$$

It follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u}=0, \quad(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{u}=\mathbf{0}, \quad \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla k=0, \\
& \boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{u})=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \partial_{x_{1}} u_{3} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{3} \\
\frac{1}{2} \partial_{x_{1}} u_{3} & \frac{1}{2} \partial_{x_{2}} u_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad|\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{u})|^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla u_{3}\right|^{2}, \quad \nabla p=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
-g
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition, we assume that the turbulent length scale $\ell$ depends on $x^{\prime} \in \Omega_{0}$ only, i. e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell \in C\left(\bar{\Omega}_{0}\right) ; \quad \ell\left(x^{\prime}\right)>0 \quad \forall x^{\prime} \in \Omega_{0}, \quad \ell\left(x^{\prime}\right)=0 \quad \forall x^{\prime} \in \partial \Omega_{0} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, with the above assumptions on $\boldsymbol{u}$ and $k$, system (1.2), (1.3) takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial t}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}\left((v+\ell \sqrt{k}) \nabla u_{3}\right)+g \quad \text { in } \Omega_{0} \times\right] 0, T[,  \tag{1.8}\\
& \left.\frac{\partial k}{\partial t}=\operatorname{div}((\mu+\ell \sqrt{k}) \nabla k)+\frac{1}{2} \ell \sqrt{k}\left|\nabla u_{3}\right|^{2}-\frac{k \sqrt{k}}{\ell} \text { in } \Omega_{0} \times\right] 0, T[ \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. Analogously, system (1.4), (1.5) reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial t}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}\left(\ell \sqrt{k} \nabla u_{3}\right)+g \text { in } \Omega_{0} \times\right] 0, T[,  \tag{1.10}\\
& \left.\frac{\partial k}{\partial t}=\operatorname{div}(\ell \sqrt{k} \nabla k)+\frac{1}{2} \ell \sqrt{k}\left|\nabla u_{3}\right|^{2}-\frac{k \sqrt{k}}{\ell} \text { in } \Omega_{0} \times\right] 0, T[, \tag{1.11}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively.
Remark 1.3 (degenerate parabolic equations) Since

$$
\left.\ell \sqrt{k} \geq 0 \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega_{0} \in\right] 0, T\left[, \quad \ell \sqrt{k}=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega_{0} \times[0, T],\right.
$$

the differential operator $\operatorname{div}(\ell \sqrt{k} \nabla(\cdot))$ on the right hand side of (1.10) and (1.11) is degenerated. The weak formulation of (1.10), (1.11) therefore has to make use of Sobolev spaces with weight $\ell$. In addition, due to the physical meaning of the turbulence model under consideration, the weak solution $\left(u_{3}, k\right)$ to (1.10), (1.11) must verify the condition

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{0}} \frac{k \sqrt{k}}{\ell} d x d t<+\infty
$$

### 1.3 A model problem

Throughout the remainder of the paper, let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary $\partial \Omega$. We consider the following system of PDEs for the unknown scalar functions $u$ and $k$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-\operatorname{div}(\sqrt{k} \nabla u)=g \quad \text { in } \quad Q_{T}  \tag{1.12}\\
& \frac{\partial k}{\partial t}-\operatorname{div}((\mu+\sqrt{k}) \nabla k)=\sqrt{k}|\nabla u|^{2}-k \sqrt{k} \quad \text { in } \quad Q_{T} \tag{1.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g$ is a function defined on $Q_{T}$, and $\mu=$ const $>0$. in (1.12), the differential operator $\operatorname{div}(\sqrt{k} \nabla(\cdot))$ is degenerated. With regard to the nonlinear terms, (1.12), (1.13), system involves the same mathematical properties as system (1.8) (with $v=0$ ), (1.9) does. Moreover, the proof of our main theorem (see Section 4) continues to hold for (1.8) $(v=0),(1.9)$ with turbulent length scales $\ell$ which satisfy (1.7) and, in addition,

$$
\int_{\Omega_{0}} \frac{d x^{\prime}}{\ell}<+\infty
$$

We complete (1.12), (1.13) by the boundary and initial conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=0, \quad \frac{\partial k}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}^{\prime}}=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega \times[0, T],  \tag{1.14}\\
& u=u_{0}, \quad k=k_{0} \quad \text { on } \quad \Omega \times\{0\}, \tag{1.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{n}^{\prime}$ denotes the unit normal to $\partial \Omega$. The following figure illustrates the meaning of boundary conditions (1.14) with respect to the boundary of a pipe with cross-section $\Omega$.


Figure 1: The "pipe $\Omega \times] 0, a[$ "

Let $\boldsymbol{n}=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right)$ denote the exterior unit normal to $\partial(\Omega \times] 0, a[)$. For $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, define $\xi_{\tau}:=\xi-(\xi \cdot \boldsymbol{n}) \boldsymbol{n}$. Let be $\boldsymbol{u}(x, t)=\left(0,0, u_{3}\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)\right)$ as above $\left(x=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right)\right)$, where $\left.x^{\prime} \in \Omega, x_{3} \in\right] 0, a[$, and $t \in] 0, T[$. Then, for every $t \in] 0, T[$,

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}=0, & \boldsymbol{u}_{\tau}=\boldsymbol{u} & \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega \times[0, a], & \\
\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}= \pm u_{3}, & \boldsymbol{u}_{\tau}=\mathbf{0} & \text { on } \quad \Omega \times\{0\} \text { resp. } & \Omega \times\{a\} .
\end{array}
$$

Moreover,

$$
(\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{u}) \boldsymbol{n})_{\tau}=0 \quad \partial \Omega \times[0, a] \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}^{\prime}}=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega,
$$

where $\boldsymbol{n}^{\prime}=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$. Thus, the boundary condition $\frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}^{\prime}}=0$ on $\partial \Omega$ is equivalent to the Navier-slip condition on the vector field $\boldsymbol{u}(x, t)=\left(0,0, u_{3}\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)\right)$ on $\partial \Omega \times[0, a]$. The boundary condition $\frac{\partial k}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}^{\prime}}=0$ on $\partial \Omega$ means that there is no flux of $k$ through $\partial \Omega$.

In [9; pp. 203-204], the author considers a system of PDEs for two scalar functions which is more complex than (1.12), (1.13), but does not include a degenerate parabolic equation like (1.12) in [4], [8] the authors establish the existence of weak solutions to a general class of turbulentviscosity models in three dimensions of space with coefficients $v_{T}=v_{0}+v(k)\left(v_{0}=\right.$ const $\left.>0\right)$, where $0 \leq v(k) \leq c_{0} k^{\alpha}$ for all $k \in[0,+\infty[\quad(\alpha>0$ appropriate $)$.

## 2. Weak solutions of the model problem

### 2.1 Weak formulation

Let $X$ denote a real normed vector space with norm $|\cdot|$, let $X^{*}$ be the dual of $X$ and let $\left\langle x^{*}, x\right\rangle_{X^{*}, X}$ denote the dual pairing between $x^{*} \in X^{*}$ and $x \in X$. The symbol $C_{w}([0, T] ; X)$ stands for the vector space of all mappings $u:[0, T] \rightarrow X$ such that the function $t \mapsto\left\langle x^{*}, x\right\rangle_{X^{*}, X}$ is continuous on $[0, T]$ whenever $x^{*} \in X^{*}$. Next, by $L^{p}(0, T ; X)(1 \leq p \leq+\infty)$ we denote the vector space of all equivalence classes of measurable mappings $u:[0, T] \rightarrow X$ such that the function $t \mapsto|u(t)|_{X}$ is in $L^{p}(0, T)$ (see, e. g. [2; chap. III, § 3; chap. IV, § 3], [5]).

Let $W^{1, p}(\Omega)(1 \leq p \leq+\infty)$ denote the usual Sobolev space. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega) & :=\left\{u \in W^{1, p}(\Omega) ; u=0 \text { a. e. on } \partial \Omega\right\} \\
W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega) & :=\text { dual of } W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \quad\left(1<p<+\infty, p^{\prime}=\frac{p}{p-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We introduce the notion of weak solution to (1.12)-(1.15). For the sake of simplicity of our presentation, in what follows we assume $g=0$.

Definition Let $u_{0} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $k_{0} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$. The pair $(u, k)$ is called weak solution to (1.12)-(1.15) if

$$
\begin{align*}
& u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
& k \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{3 / 2}\left(Q_{T}\right), \quad k \geq 0 \quad \text { a.e. in } Q_{T},  \tag{2.2}\\
& \nabla k \in\left[L^{p}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right]^{2}\left(1<p<\frac{4}{3}\right), k^{1 / 2} \nabla k \in\left[L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right]^{2}(1<q \leq p),  \tag{2.3}\\
& k^{1 / 4} \nabla u \in\left[L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right]^{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
-\int_{Q_{T}} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+\int_{Q_{T}} k^{1 / 2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v=\int_{\Omega} u_{0}(x) v(x, 0) d x^{2} \\
\\
\forall v \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1,4}(\Omega)\right) \text { such that } \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \in L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right), \quad v(\cdot, T)=0, \tag{2.6}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

[^2]We notice that the integrability of $\nabla k$ in (2.3) is well-known from the theory of parabolic equations with right hand side in $L^{1}$ (i.e., $1 \leq p<\frac{d+2}{d+1}$, where $d=$ dimension of space). The integrability of $k^{1 / 2} \nabla k$ in (2.3) follows from an a-priori estimate on the appropriate solution ( $u_{\varepsilon}, k_{\varepsilon}$ ) which will be deduced in Section 4.1. It is easy to see that (2.1)-(2.4) guarantee the integrability of the functions under the integral signs of the integral relations in (2.5) and (2.6) as well.

To motivate the integral relation in (2.5), we consider a sufficiently regular solution $(u, k)$ ( $k \geq 0$ in $Q_{T}$ ) of (1.12)-(1.15). Let $v$ be a smooth function in $\bar{\Omega} \times[0, T]$ such that $v=0$ on $\partial \Omega \times[0, T]$ and $v(x, T)=0$ for all $x \in \Omega$. We multiply each term in (1.12) by $v$, integrate over $Q_{T}$ and integrate by parts. This gives (2.5). Observing the boundary condition $\frac{\partial k}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}^{\prime}}=0$ on $\partial \Omega \times[0, T]$, we deduce (2.6) from (1.13) by an analogous reasoning.

### 2.2 Existence of $t$-derivatives

Let $(u, k)$ be a weak solution to (1.12)-(1.15). We show that both $u$ and $k$ have a first order $t$ derivative in the sense of distributions of $] 0, T\left[\right.$ into $W^{-1,4 / 3}(\Omega)$ and $\left(W^{1, q^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)^{*}(q$ as in (2.3)), respectively.

To this end, we introduce some notations. Let $X$ and $Y$ be real normed spaces such that

$$
X \subseteq Y \quad \text { continuously. }
$$

Let $v \in L^{p}(0, T ; X)$ and $w \in L^{q}(0, T ; Y)(1 \leq p, q \leq+\infty)$ satisfy

$$
\int_{0}^{T} v(t) \alpha(t) d t=-\int_{0}^{T} w(t) \alpha^{\prime}(t) d t \quad \text { in } \quad Y, \quad \forall \alpha \in C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}(] 0, T[) .
$$

Then $w$ is called the derivative (of order 1 ) of $v$ in sense of distributions of $] 0, T[$ into $Y$ and denoted by $v^{\prime}$ (see, e. g., [3; Appendice], [5]). The element $v^{\prime}$ is uniquely determined

The following result is well-known. For every $v \in L^{p}(0, T ; X)$ with distributional derivative $v^{\prime} \in L^{q}(0, T ; Y)(1 \leq p, q<+\infty)$ there exists $\widetilde{v} \in C([0, T] ; Y)$ such that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\widetilde{v}(t)=v(t) \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T]  \tag{2.7}\\
\|\widetilde{v}\|_{C([0, T] ; Y)} \leq c\left(\|v\|_{L^{p}(X)}+\left\|v^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{q}(Y)}\right)^{3} \quad(c=\text { const independent of } v) .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Next, let $H$ be a real Hilbert space with scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{H}$. We suppose that $X \subset H$ continuously. Identifying $H$ with its dual $H^{*}$, it follows

$$
X \subset H \cong H^{*} \subset X^{*} \text { continuously, } \quad(h, \xi)_{H}=\langle h, \xi\rangle_{X^{*}, X} \quad \forall h \in H, \forall \xi \in X .
$$

Let $X$ be reflexive and let $1 \leq p, q<+\infty$. Let $v \in L^{p}(0, T ; X)$. Then the equivalence of $1^{\circ}$ and $2^{\circ}$ is readily seen.
$1^{\circ} \exists w \in L^{q}\left(0, T ; X^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{T} v(t) \alpha^{\prime}(t) d t=\int_{0}^{T} w(t) \alpha(t) d t \quad \text { in } \quad X^{*}, \quad \forall \alpha \in C_{\mathrm{c}}^{1}(] 0, T[)
$$

(i.e., $v$ possesses the distributional derivative $v^{\prime}=-w$ );
$2^{\circ} \exists w \in L^{q}\left(0, T ; X^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}(v(t), \xi)_{H} \alpha^{\prime}(t) d t=\int_{0}^{T}\langle w(t), \xi\rangle_{X^{*}, X} \alpha(t) d t \quad \forall \xi \in X, \quad \forall \alpha \in C_{\mathrm{c}}^{1}(] 0, T[) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]Finally, for later use (Section 3) we notice the following elementary result. Let $1<p<+\infty$. For every $v \in L^{p}(0, T ; X)$ with distributional derivative $v^{\prime} \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; X^{*}\right)$ there exists $\widetilde{u} \in C([0, T] ; H)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{u}(t)=u(t) \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T], \quad\|\widetilde{u}\|_{C([0, T] ; H)}^{2} \leq 2\|u\|_{L^{p}(X)}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}\left(X^{*}\right)}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are now in a position to prove the following

Proposition 1 Let $(u, k)$ be a weak solution of (1.12)-(1.15). Then there exist the distributional derivatives

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{-1,4 / 3}(\Omega)\right), \quad k^{\prime} \in L^{1}\left(0, T ;\left(W^{1, q^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)^{*}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there holds

$$
\begin{gather*}
u \in C_{w}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), \quad u(0)=u_{0} \text { in } L^{2}(\Omega), \\
\left.\left\langle u^{\prime}(t), \xi\right\rangle_{W^{-1,4 / 3}, W_{0}^{1,4}}+\int_{\Omega} k^{1 / 2} \nabla u(t) \cdot \nabla \xi d x=0\right\}  \tag{2.11}\\
\text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T], \quad \forall \xi \in W_{0}^{1,4}(\Omega), \\
k \in C\left([0, T] ;\left(W^{1, q^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)^{*}\right), \quad k(0)=k_{0} \text { in }\left(W^{1, q^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)^{*}, 4 \\
\left\langle k^{\prime}(t), \eta\right\rangle_{\left(W^{1, q^{\prime}}\right)^{*}, W^{1, q^{\prime}}}+\int_{\Omega}\left(\mu+k^{1 / 2}(t)\right) \nabla k(t) \cdot \nabla \eta d x=  \tag{2.12}\\
=\int_{\Omega}\left(k^{1 / 2}(t)|\nabla u(t)|^{2}-k^{3 / 2}(t)\right) \eta d x \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T], \quad \forall \eta \in W^{1, q^{\prime}}(\Omega) \\
(q \text { as in }(2.3)) .
\end{gather*}
$$

By the separability of the Sobolev space $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$, the sets of measure zero of those $t \in[0, T]$ for which the functional relations in (2.11) and (2.12) fail, do not depend on $\xi \in W_{0}^{1,4}(\Omega)$ and $\eta \in W^{1, q^{\prime}}(\Omega)$, respectively.

Proof of Proposition 1 Observing (2.2) we obtain for all $\xi \in W_{0}^{1,4}(\Omega)$ and a. e. $t \in[0, T]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\Omega} k^{1 / 2}(t) \nabla u(t) \cdot \nabla \xi d x\right| \leq \\
& \leq\|k\|_{L^{\infty}\left(L^{1}\right)}^{1 / 4}\left(\int_{\Omega} k^{1 / 2}(t)|\nabla u(t)|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \xi|^{4} d x\right)^{1 / 4}
\end{aligned}
$$

By (2.4), the function $t \mapsto \int_{\Omega} k^{1 / 2}(t)|\nabla u(t)|^{2} d x$ is in $L^{2}(0, T)$. Hence, there exists $w \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{-1,4 / 3}(\Omega)\right)$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} k^{1 / 2}(t) \nabla u(t) \cdot \nabla \xi d x=\langle w(t), \xi\rangle_{W^{-1,4 / 3}, W_{0}^{1,4}} \quad \text { for a. e. } \quad t \in[0, T]
$$

(notice that the measurability of $w:[0, T] \rightarrow W^{-1,4 / 3}(\Omega)$ follows from Pettis' theorem).

[^4]Let $\left.\alpha \in C^{\infty}([0, T]), \operatorname{supp}(\alpha) \subset\right] 0, T[$. The function $v(x, t)=\xi(x) \alpha(t)(x, t) \in \bar{\Omega} \times] 0, T[)$ being admissible in (2.5), the integral relation takes the form

$$
\int_{0}^{T}(u(t), \xi)_{L^{2} \alpha^{\prime}}(t) d t=\int_{0}^{T}\langle w(t), \xi\rangle_{W^{-1,4 / 3}, W_{0}^{1,4}} \alpha(t) d t
$$

i. e. (2.8) holds with $X=W_{0}^{1,4}(\Omega), H=L^{2}(\Omega)$. Hence, the distributional derivative $u^{\prime}(=-w) \in$ $L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{-1,4 / 3}(\Omega)\right)$ exists (cf. (2.10)), and the functional relation in (2.11) holds for a.e. $t \in$ $[0, T]$. This follows by a routine argument. Moreover, there exists a representative of $u$ (not relabelled) that is in $C\left([0, T] ; W^{-1,4 / 3}(\Omega)\right)$ (cf. (2.7)). Thus, by (2.1), $u \in C_{w}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$.

We prove that $u(0)=u_{0}$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. To this end, let $\xi \in W_{0}^{1,4}(\Omega)$ and fix $\zeta \in C^{1}([0,1])$ such that $\zeta(T)=0$ and $\zeta(0)=1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle u^{\prime}(t), \xi\right\rangle_{W^{-1,4 / 3}, W_{0}^{1,4}} \zeta(t) d t+\int_{0}^{T}(u(t), \xi)_{L^{2}} \zeta^{\prime}(t) d t=-(u(0), \xi)_{L^{2}} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, inserting the function $(x, t) \longmapsto \xi(x) \zeta(t)((x, t) \in \bar{\Omega} \times[0, T])$ into (2.5) it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{0}^{T}(u(t), \xi)_{L^{2} \zeta^{\prime}}(t) d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\int_{\Omega} k^{1 / 2}(t) \nabla u(t) \cdot \nabla \xi d x\right) d t=\int_{\Omega} u_{0} \xi d x . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.11), (2.13) and (2.14) we find

$$
\int_{\Omega} u_{0} \xi d x=\int_{\Omega} u(x, 0) \xi d x, \quad \xi \in W_{0}^{1,4}(\Omega) .
$$

Whence the claim.
To establish the existence of $k^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ;\left(W^{1, q^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)^{*}\right)(q$ as in (2.3)), we notice that for every $\eta \in W^{1, q^{\prime}}(\Omega)$, from (2.3) and (2.4) it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\Omega}\left(\mu+k^{1 / 2}(t)\right) \nabla k(t) \cdot \nabla \eta d x-\int_{\Omega}\left(k^{1 / 2}(t)|\nabla u(t)|^{2}-k^{3 / 2}(t)\right) \eta d x\right| \leq \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\left(\mu+k^{1 / 2}(t)\right)|\nabla k(t)|\right)^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \eta|^{q^{\prime}} d x\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}}+ \\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega}\left(k^{1 / 2}(t)|\nabla u(t)|^{2}+k^{3 / 2}(t)\right) d x \max _{\bar{\Omega}}|\eta|
\end{aligned}
$$

for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$. Hence, there exists $z \in L^{1}\left(0, T ;\left(W^{1, q^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(\mu+k^{1 / 2}(t)\right) \nabla k(t) \cdot \nabla \eta d x-\int_{\Omega}\left(k^{1 / 2}(t)|\nabla u(t)|^{2}-k^{3 / 2}(t)\right) \eta d x=\langle z(t), \eta\rangle_{\left(W^{1, q^{\prime}}\right)^{*}, W^{1, q}}
$$

for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$.
By an analogous reasoning as above, we obtain the existence of the distributional derivative $k^{\prime} \in L^{1}\left(0, T ;\left(W^{1, q^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)^{*}\right)$ (thus $\left.k \in C\left([0, T] ;\left(W^{1, q^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)^{*}\right)\right)$ and the function relation in (2.12) holds. In the same way as above, from (2.6) we conclude that $\langle k(0), \eta\rangle_{\left(W^{1, q^{\prime}}\right)^{*}, W^{1, q^{\prime}}}=\int_{\Omega} k_{0} \eta d x$ for all $\eta \in W^{1, q^{\prime}}(\Omega)$.

### 2.3 An existence theorem

The main result of our paper is the following

Theorem Let $u_{0} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and let $k_{0} \in L^{1}(\Omega), k_{0} \geq 0$ a.e. in $\Omega$. Then there exists a pair $(u, k)$ such that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
u \in C_{w}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)\right), \quad u^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{-1,4 / 3}(\Omega)\right), \\
k \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap\left(\bigcap_{1<\rho<\frac{16}{7}} L^{\rho}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right), \quad k \geq 0 \quad \text { a.e. in } Q_{T}, \\
\left.\nabla k \in \bigcap_{1<q<\frac{4}{3}}\left[L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right]^{2}, \quad \delta \mu \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{|\nabla k|^{2}}{(1+k)^{1+\delta}} \leq c \quad \forall \delta \in\right] 0,1[, \\
k^{1 / 2} \nabla k \in \bigcap_{1<r<\frac{8}{7}}\left[L^{r}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right]^{2} \quad(c=\text { const independent of } \delta \text { and } \mu)
\end{array}\right\}, \bigcap_{1<r<\frac{8}{7}} C\left([0, T] ; W^{-1, r}(\Omega)\right), \quad k^{\prime} \in \bigcap_{1<r<\frac{8}{7}} L^{1}\left(0, T ; W^{-1, r}(\Omega)\right),
$$

and

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle u^{\prime}(t), \xi\right\rangle_{W^{-1,4 / 3}, W_{0}^{1,4}}+\int_{\Omega} k^{1 / 2}(t) \nabla u(t) \cdot \nabla \xi d x=0 \quad \text { for a. e. } t \in[0, T], \quad \forall \xi \in W_{0}^{1,4}(\Omega), \\
\quad \text { for some } 1<s<\frac{8}{7} \\
\quad\left\langle k^{\prime}(t), \eta\right\rangle_{W^{-1, s}, W_{0}^{1, s^{\prime}}}+\int_{\Omega}\left(\mu+k^{1 / 2}(t)\right) \nabla k(t) \cdot \nabla \eta d x= \\
\quad=\int_{\Omega}\left(k^{1 / 2}(t)|\nabla u(t)|^{2}-k^{3 / 2}(t)\right) \eta d x \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T], \quad \forall \eta \in W_{0}^{1, s^{\prime}}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right\},
$$

In addition, the pair $(u, k)$ satisfies,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min \left\{0, \underset{\Omega}{\operatorname{ess} \inf } u_{0}\right\} \leq u \leq \max \left\{0, \underset{\Omega}{\operatorname{ess} \sup } u_{0}\right\} \text { a.e. in } Q_{T}  \tag{2.22}\\
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2}(x, t) d x+\int_{Q_{t}} k^{1 / 2}|\nabla u|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_{0}^{2}(x) d x  \tag{2.23}\\
& \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{2} u^{2}(x, t)+k(x, t)\right) d x+\int_{Q_{t}} k^{3 / 2} \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{2} u_{0}^{2}(x)+k_{0}(x)\right) d x  \tag{2.24}\\
& \int_{Q_{t}}|\nabla u|^{2} \leq 2 \int_{\Omega} k^{1 / 2}(x, t) d x+\int_{Q_{t}} k \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$.
The pair $(u, k)$ obtained in the theorem above, exhibits the phenomenon of turbulence as follows.

Corollary $(k>0$ a.e. on a set of positive measure). Let be $(u, k)$ as in the Theorem. Suppose that

$$
\int_{Q_{T}}|\nabla u|^{2}>0
$$

Then there exists a set $Q^{*} \subset Q_{T}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{mes} Q^{*}>0, \quad k>0 \quad \text { a.e. on } Q^{*}
$$

Proof Define $\alpha_{0}:=\int_{Q_{T}}|\nabla u|^{2}$. Fix $\left.t_{*} \in\right] 0, T[$ such that

$$
\int_{t_{*}}^{T} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \leq \frac{\alpha_{0}}{2}
$$

Integration of (2.25) over [ $\left.t_{*}, T\right]$ gives

$$
\frac{\alpha_{0}}{2}\left(T-t_{*}\right) \leq \int_{t_{*}}^{T} \int_{\Omega} k^{1 / 2}+\int_{t_{*}}^{T}\left(\int_{Q_{t}} k\right) d t
$$

Thus,

$$
\alpha_{0} \leq\left(\frac{4 \operatorname{mes} \Omega}{T-t_{*}} \int_{Q_{T}} k\right)^{1 / 2}+2 \int_{Q_{T}} k
$$

Whence the claim.

## 3. Existence of an approximate solution $\left(u_{\varepsilon}, k_{\varepsilon}\right)$

In this section, we modify model problem (1.12)-(1.15) at two points. Firstly, by a standard cutoff method, we bound the coefficients $\sqrt{k}$ which occur in the differential operators on the left hand side of (1.12) and (1.13). Secondly, by adding the term $-\varepsilon \operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)(\varepsilon>0, p>4)$ to the left hand side of (1.13) we make the model problem coercive. The existence of a weak solution to this modified problem is then easily proved by methods of abstract evolution equations. The proof of our existence theorem for weak solutions to (1.12)-(1.15) is then carried out by the passage to the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

The existence of a weak solution of problems of the type (1.1)-(1.3) with uniformly bounded coefficients in place of $(v+\ell \sqrt{k})$ and $(\mu+\ell \sqrt{k})$ in (1.2) and (1.3), respectively, has been proved in [11], [12]. The weak solution obtained in these works, however, does verify the scalar equation of type (1.3) with a defect measure.

To begin with, we define

$$
[\tau]_{\varepsilon}: \min \left\{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \tau\right\}, \quad \varepsilon>0, \quad 0 \leq \tau<+\infty
$$

Fix any $p>4$. Given $\varepsilon>0$, we consider the following problem: find a pair of functions $\left(u_{\varepsilon}, k_{\varepsilon}\right)$ such that $k_{\varepsilon} \geq 0$ in $Q_{T}$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}-\operatorname{div}\left(\left(\left(\varepsilon+\left[k_{\varepsilon}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}+\varepsilon\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p-2}\right) \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right)=0 \quad \text { in } \quad Q_{T}  \tag{3.1}\\
& \frac{\partial k_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}-\operatorname{div}\left(\left(\mu+\left(\varepsilon+\left[k_{\varepsilon}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \nabla k_{\varepsilon}\right)+\varepsilon k_{\varepsilon}+k_{\varepsilon}^{3 / 2}=\left(\varepsilon+\left[k_{\varepsilon}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \text { in } Q_{T},  \tag{3.2}\\
& u_{\varepsilon}=0, \quad \frac{\partial k_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}^{\prime}}=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega \times[0, T]  \tag{3.3}\\
& u_{\varepsilon}=u_{0}, \quad k_{\varepsilon}=k_{0} \quad \text { on } \quad \Omega \times\{0\} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{n}^{\prime}$ denotes the unit normal to $\partial \Omega$. Formally, problem (3.1)-(3.4) turns into (1.12)-(1.15) when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. We now prove the existence of a weak solution of (3.1)-(3.4).

Proposition 2 (existence of an approximate solution) Let $u_{0} \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ and $k_{0} \in W^{1,2}(\Omega), k_{0} \geq 0$ a.e. in $\Omega$. Then, for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a pair $\left(u_{\varepsilon}, k_{\varepsilon}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{\varepsilon} \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right), \quad u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right),  \tag{3.5}\\
& k_{\varepsilon} \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{p}\left(0, T ; W^{1,2}(\Omega)\right), \quad k_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ;\left(W^{1,2}(\Omega)\right)^{*}\right),  \tag{3.6}\\
& \min \left\{0, \min _{\bar{\Omega}} u_{0}\right\} \leq u_{\varepsilon} \leq \max \left\{0, \max _{\bar{\Omega}} u_{0}\right\} \text { a.e. in } Q_{T}, \quad k_{\varepsilon} \geq 0 \text { a.e. in } Q_{T} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t), v\right\rangle_{W^{-1, p^{\prime}, W_{0}^{1, p}}}+\int_{\Omega}\left(\left(\varepsilon+\left[k_{\varepsilon}(t)\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}+\varepsilon\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right|^{p-2}\right) \nabla u_{\varepsilon}(t) \cdot \nabla v d x=0  \tag{3.8}\\
& \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T], \quad \forall v \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega), \\
& \left\langle k_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t), \varphi\right\rangle_{\left(W^{1,2}\right)^{*}, W^{1,2}}+\int_{\Omega}\left(\mu+\left(\varepsilon+\left[k_{\varepsilon}(t)\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \nabla k_{\varepsilon}(t) \cdot \nabla \varphi d x  \tag{3.9}\\
& \left.+\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} k_{\varepsilon}(t) \varphi d x+\int_{\Omega} k_{\varepsilon}^{3 / 2}(t) \varphi d x=\int_{\Omega}\left(\varepsilon+\left[k_{\varepsilon}(t)\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right|^{2} \varphi d x\right\} \\
& \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T], \quad \forall \varphi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega),  \tag{3.10}\\
& u_{\varepsilon}(0)=u_{0} \quad \text { in } \quad L^{2}(\Omega), \quad k_{\varepsilon}(0)=k_{0} \quad \text { in } \quad L^{2}(\Omega) .
\end{align*}
$$

Before turning to the proof of Proposition 2 we introduce some notations. Put

$$
\mathcal{V}=L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \times L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{1,2}(\Omega)\right) .
$$

By $(u, k),(v, \varphi), \ldots$ we denote the elements of $\mathcal{V}$. The space $\mathcal{V}$ is reflexive with respect to the norm

$$
\|(u, k)\|_{\mathcal{V}}:=\left(\|u\|_{L^{p}\left(W_{0}^{1, p}\right)}^{2}+\|k\|_{L^{2}\left(W^{1,2}\right)}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

The dual space $\mathcal{V}^{*}$ is linearly isometric to $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right) \times L^{2}\left(0, T ;\left(W^{1,2}(\Omega)\right)^{*}\right)$. Identifying this space with $\mathcal{V}^{*}$, we obtain, for all $\left(u^{*}, k^{*}\right) \in \mathcal{V}^{*}$ and all $(v, \varphi) \in \mathcal{V}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(u^{*}, k^{*}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{V}^{*}}=\left(\left\|u^{*}\right\|_{L^{\prime}\left(W^{\left.-1, p^{\prime}\right)}\right.}^{2}+\left\|k^{*}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\left(W^{1,2}\right)^{*}\right)}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \\
& \left\langle\left(u^{*}, k^{*}\right),(v, \varphi)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{V}^{*}, \mathcal{V}}=\left\langle u^{*}, v\right\rangle_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(W^{-1, p^{\prime}}\right), L^{p}\left(W_{0}^{1, p}\right)}+\left\langle k^{*}, \varphi\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\left(W^{1,2}\right)^{*}\right), L^{2}\left(W^{1,2}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D(\mathcal{L}):=\left\{(u, k) \in \mathcal{V} ; \exists\left(u^{\prime} k^{\prime}\right) \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right) \times L^{2}\left(0, T ;\left(W^{1,2}(\Omega)\right)^{*}\right),\right. \\
& u(0)=0, k(0)=0\}, \\
& \mathcal{L}(u, k):=\left(u^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right), \quad(u, k) \in D(\mathcal{L}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We furnish $D(\mathcal{L})$ with the usual graph norm

$$
\|(u, k)\|_{D(\mathcal{L})}:=\|(u, k)\|_{\mathcal{V}}+\|\mathcal{L}(u, k)\|_{\mathcal{V}^{*}}
$$

The following results are well-known:

$$
\begin{gathered}
D(\mathcal{L}) \subset \mathcal{V} \quad \text { continuously, densely } \\
\mathcal{L}: D(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{*} \quad \text { is a linear, maximal monotone operator }
\end{gathered}
$$

(see, e. g., [10; Chap. 3]).
Proof of Proposition 2 For $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, define $\tau^{+}:=\max \{\tau, 0\}, \tau^{-}:=\min \{\tau, 0\}$. Given $(u, k) \in D(\mathcal{L})$, put

$$
\widehat{u}=u+u_{0}, \quad \widehat{k}=k+k_{0} .
$$

Then, for $\varepsilon>0$ we define a mapping $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}: D(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{*}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}(u, k),(v, \varphi)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{V}^{*}, v}=\int_{Q_{T}}\left(\left(\varepsilon+\left[\widehat{k}^{+}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}+\varepsilon|\nabla \widehat{u}|^{p-2}\right) \nabla \widehat{u} \cdot \nabla v+ \\
& +\int_{Q_{T}}\left(\mu+\left(\varepsilon+\left[\widehat{k}^{+}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \nabla \widehat{k} \cdot \nabla \varphi d x+\int_{Q_{T}}\left(\widehat{\varepsilon k}+\widehat{k}^{+}|\widehat{k}|^{1 / 2}\right) \varphi-\int_{Q_{T}}\left(\varepsilon+\left[\widehat{k}^{+}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}|\nabla \hat{u}|^{2} \varphi, \\
& (u, k) \in D(\mathcal{L}), \quad(v, k) \in \mathcal{V} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We are now going to show that the mapping $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}$ verifies the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) below. (i) $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}$ maps bounded sets in $D(\mathcal{L})$ into bounded sets in $\mathcal{V}^{*}$. More precisely, for all $(u, k) \in \mathcal{V}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}(u, k)\right\|_{\mathcal{V}^{*}} \leq \Psi_{\varepsilon}\left(\|(u, k)\|_{\mathcal{V}}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\|\mathcal{L}(u, k)\|_{\mathcal{V}^{*}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi_{\varepsilon}:[0,+\infty[\rightarrow] 0,+\infty[$ is a non-decreasing function that is bounded on bounded intervals of $\left[0,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$, and $\Psi_{\varepsilon}(\tau) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\left.\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \tau \in\right] 0,+\infty[$.

To see this, it is evidently enough to observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\left|\int_{Q_{T}} \widehat{k}^{+}\right| \widehat{k \mid}\right|^{1 / 2} \varphi \mid & \leq c \mid \widehat{k}\left\|_{C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}\right)}^{3 / 2} \int_{0}^{T}\right\| \varphi(t) \|_{W^{1,2}} d t t^{5} \\
& \leq\left(c\|\widehat{k}\|_{L^{2}\left(W^{1,2}\right)}^{3}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|k^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\left(W^{1,2}\right)^{*}\right)}\right)\|\varphi\|_{L^{2}\left(W^{1,2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

(by (2.9) with $X=W^{1,2}(\Omega), H=L^{2}(\Omega)$ ), and

$$
\left.\left|\int_{Q_{T}}\left(\varepsilon+\left[\widehat{k}^{+}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\right| \nabla u\right|^{2} \varphi \left\lvert\, \leq\left(\varepsilon+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\|\nabla u\|_{\left[L^{4}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right]^{2}}^{2}\|\varphi\|_{L^{2}\left(W^{1,2}\right)} .\right.
$$

(ii) $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}$ is coercive, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\langle A_{\varepsilon}(u, k),(u, k)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{V}^{*}, \mathcal{V}}}{\|(u, k)\|_{\mathcal{V}}} \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as } \quad(u, k) \in D(\mathcal{L}), \quad\|(u, k)\|_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow+\infty \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, by the definition of $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}(u, k),(u, k)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{V}^{*}, \mathcal{V}}= \\
& =\int_{Q_{T}}\left(\left(\varepsilon+\left[\widehat{k}^{+}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}+\varepsilon|\nabla \hat{u}|^{p-2}\right) \nabla \widehat{u} \cdot \nabla u+\int_{Q_{T}}\left(\mu+\left(\varepsilon+\left[k^{+}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \nabla \widehat{k} \cdot \nabla k \\
& \quad+\int_{Q_{T}}\left(\widehat{\varepsilon k}+\widehat{k}^{+}|\widehat{k}|^{1 / 2}\right) k-\int_{Q_{T}}\left(\varepsilon+\left[\widehat{k}^{+}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}|\nabla \hat{u}|^{2} k .
\end{aligned}
$$

It suffices to estimate the third and the fourth integral from below. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q_{T}}\left(\widehat{\varepsilon k}+\widehat{k}^{+}|\widehat{\mid k}|^{1 / 2}\right) k & \geq \varepsilon \int_{Q_{T}} k^{2}+\varepsilon \int_{Q_{T}} k k_{0}-\int_{Q_{T}} \widehat{k}^{3 / 2} k_{0} \\
& \geq \frac{3 \varepsilon}{4} \int_{Q_{T}} k^{2}-c(\varepsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
-\int_{Q_{T}}\left(\varepsilon+[\widehat{k}]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}|\nabla \widehat{u}|^{2} k \geq-\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \int_{Q_{T}} k^{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{Q_{T}}|\nabla \widehat{u}|^{p}-c(\varepsilon),
$$

where the constants $c(\varepsilon)$ depend on $\left\|k_{0}\right\|_{W^{1,2}}$ (recall $p>4$ ). Whence (3.12).

[^5](iii) $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}$ is pseudo-monotone (with respect to weakly convergent sequences in $D(\mathcal{L})$ ), i. e. for every sequence $\left(\left(u_{j}, k_{j}\right)\right) \subset D(\mathcal{L})$ such that
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(u_{j}, k_{j}\right) \rightarrow(u, k) \text { weakly in } \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{L}\left(u_{j}, k_{j}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(u, k) \text { weakly in } \mathcal{V}^{*} \text {, and } \\
& \quad \lim \sup \left\langle A_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{j}, k_{j}\right),\left(u_{j}, k_{j}\right)-(u, k)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{V}^{*}, \mathcal{V}} \leq 0 \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

there exists a subsequence (not relabelled) such that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\liminf \left\langle A_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{j}, k_{j}\right),\left(u_{j}, k_{j}\right)-(v, \varphi)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{V}^{*}, \mathcal{V}} \geq  \tag{3.14}\\
\geq\left\langle A_{\varepsilon}(u, k),(u, k)-(v, \varphi)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{V}^{*}, \mathcal{V}} \quad \forall(v, \varphi) \in \mathcal{V} .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Before turning to the proof of (3.14) we notice that the convergence of the sequence $\left(\left(k_{j}\right)\right)$ implies the existence of a subsequence (not relabelled) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{j} \rightarrow k \quad \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right) \text { and a.e. in } Q_{T} \text { as } j \rightarrow+\infty \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [10; Chap. 1, Thm. 5.1], [22; Cor. 4]). Next, given $r>2$, there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(|\xi|^{r-2} \xi-|\eta|^{r-2} \eta\right) \cdot(\xi-\eta) \geq \alpha_{0}|\xi-\eta|^{r} \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{0}=$ const $>0$ depends on $r$ and $n$ only. We obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{0} \varepsilon & \int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla\left(u_{j}-u\right)\right|^{p} \leq \\
\leq & \int_{Q_{T}}\left(\varepsilon+\left[\widehat{k}^{+}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\left|\nabla\left(\widehat{u}_{j}-\widehat{u}\right)\right|^{2}+\varepsilon \int_{Q_{T}}\left(\left|\nabla \widehat{u}_{j}\right|^{p-2} \nabla \widehat{u}_{j}-|\nabla \widehat{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \widehat{u}\right) \cdot \nabla\left(\widehat{u}_{j}-\widehat{u}\right) \\
& +\int_{Q_{T}}\left(\mu+\left(\varepsilon+\left[\widehat{k}^{+}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)\left|\nabla\left(\widehat{k}_{j}-\widehat{k}\right)\right|^{2} \\
= & \int_{Q_{T}}\left(\varepsilon+\left[\widehat{k}^{+}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} \nabla \widehat{u}_{j} \cdot \nabla\left(u_{j}-u\right)+\int_{Q_{T}}\left(\mu+\left(\varepsilon+\left[\widehat{k}^{+}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \nabla \widehat{k}_{j} \cdot \nabla\left(k_{j}-k\right)+\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon, j} \\
= & \left\langle\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{j}, k_{j}\right),\left(u_{j}, k_{j}\right)-(u, k)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{V}^{*}, \mathcal{V}}+\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon, j}+C_{\varepsilon, j} \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

(recall $u_{j}-u=\widehat{u}_{j}-\widehat{u}, k_{j}-k=\widehat{k}_{j}-\widehat{k}$ ). Observing that $\widehat{v}_{j} \rightarrow \nabla \widehat{u}$ weakly in $\left[L^{p}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right]^{2}, \nabla \widehat{k}_{j} \rightarrow \nabla \widehat{k}$ weakly in $\left[L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right]^{2}$ as $j \rightarrow+\infty$, and (3.15) we easily obtain

$$
\lim \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon, j}=\lim C_{\varepsilon, j}=0
$$

Thus, from (3.13) and (3.17) it follows

$$
\alpha_{0} \varepsilon \lim \sup \int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla\left(u_{j}-u\right)\right|^{p} \leq \lim \sup \left(\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{j}, k_{j}\right),\left(u_{j}, k_{j}\right)-(u, k)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{V}^{*}, \mathcal{V}}+\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon, j}+C_{\varepsilon, j}\right) \leq 0
$$

Hence, by going to a subsequence if necessary,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla u_{j} \rightarrow \nabla u \quad \text { strongly in } \quad\left[L^{p}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right]^{2} \quad \text { and a. e. in } \quad Q_{T} \quad \text { as } \quad j \rightarrow+\infty . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are now in a position to prove (3.14). Let $(v, \varphi) \in \mathcal{V}$. To form the liminf in (3.14), we firstly notice that from (3.17) it follows

$$
\lim \int_{Q_{T}}\left(\left|\nabla \widehat{u}_{j}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{j}-|\nabla \hat{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \widehat{u}\right) \cdot \nabla\left(\widehat{u}_{j}-\widehat{u}\right)=0 .
$$

Thus, by Minty's trick,

$$
\liminf \int_{Q_{T}}\left|\widehat{u}_{j}\right|^{p-2} \nabla \widehat{u}_{j} \cdot \nabla\left(u_{j}-v\right) \geq \int_{Q_{T}}|\nabla \hat{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \widehat{u} \cdot \nabla(u-v)
$$

(see, e. g., [10; Chap. 2, Prop. 2.5]). Secondly, observing that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\varepsilon+\left[\widehat{k}_{j}^{+}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 4} \nabla \widehat{u}_{j} \rightarrow\left(\varepsilon+\left[\widehat{k}^{+}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 4} \nabla \widehat{u} \quad \text { weakly in }\left[L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right]^{2}, \\
& \left(\mu+\left(\varepsilon+\left[\widehat{k}_{j}^{+}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)^{1 / 2} \nabla \widehat{k}_{j} \rightarrow\left(\mu+\left(\varepsilon+\left[\widehat{k}^{+}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)^{1 / 2} \widehat{\nabla k} \quad \text { weakly in } \quad\left[L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

as $j \rightarrow+\infty$, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \liminf \int_{Q_{T}}\left(\varepsilon+\left[\widehat{k}_{j}^{+}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} \nabla \widehat{u}_{j} \cdot \nabla\left(u_{j}-v\right) \geq \int_{Q_{T}}\left(\varepsilon+\left[\widehat{k}^{+}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} \nabla \widehat{u} \cdot \nabla(u-v), \\
& \liminf \int_{Q_{T}}\left(\mu+\left(\varepsilon+\left[\widehat{k}_{j}^{+}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \widehat{\nabla k_{j}} \cdot \nabla\left(k_{j}-\varphi\right) \geq \int_{Q_{T}}\left(\mu+\left(\varepsilon+\left[\widehat{k}^{+}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \nabla \widehat{k} \cdot \nabla(k-\varphi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thirdly, taking into account (3.15) and (3.18) we obtain by routine arguments

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim \int_{Q_{T}}\left(\widehat{k}_{j}+\widehat{k}_{j}^{+}\left|\widehat{k}_{j}\right|^{1 / 2}\right)\left(k_{j}-\varphi\right)=\int_{Q_{T}}\left(\widehat{\varepsilon k}+\widehat{k}^{+} \widehat{|k|^{1 / 2}}\right)(k-\varphi) \\
& \lim \int_{Q_{T}}\left(\varepsilon+\left[\widehat{k}_{j}^{+}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\left|\nabla \widehat{u}_{j}\right|^{2}\left(k_{j}-\varphi\right)=\int_{Q_{T}}\left(\varepsilon+\left[\widehat{k}^{+}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}|\nabla \widehat{u}|^{2}(k-\varphi)
\end{aligned}
$$

Whence (3.14).
The mapping $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}$ thus verifies the assumptions of [10; Chap. 3, Thm. 1.2]. Hence, for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\left(\widetilde{u}_{\varepsilon}, \widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon}\right) \in D(\mathcal{L})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}\left(\widetilde{u}_{\varepsilon}, \widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon}\right)+\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}\left(\widetilde{u}_{\varepsilon}, \widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon}\right)=(0,0) \quad \text { in } \quad \mathcal{V}^{*} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define $u_{\varepsilon}:=\widetilde{u}_{\varepsilon}+u_{0}, \quad k_{\varepsilon}:=\widetilde{k}_{\varepsilon}+k_{0}$. Then (3.19) is equivalent to

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t), v\right\rangle_{W^{-1, p^{\prime}}, W_{0}^{1, p}}+\int_{\Omega}\left(\left(\varepsilon+\left[k_{\varepsilon}^{+}(t)\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}+\varepsilon\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right|^{p-2}\right) \nabla u_{\varepsilon}(t) \cdot \nabla v d x=0 \\
\text { for a. e. } t \in[0, T], \quad \forall v \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \\
\left\langle k_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t), \varphi\right\rangle_{\left(W^{1,2}\right)^{*}, W^{1,2}}+\int_{\Omega}\left(\mu+\left(\varepsilon+\left[k_{\varepsilon}^{+}(t)\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \nabla k_{\varepsilon}(t) \cdot \nabla \varphi d x  \tag{3.21}\\
\left.+\int_{\Omega}\left(\varepsilon k_{\varepsilon}(t)+k_{\varepsilon}^{+}(t)\left|k_{\varepsilon}(t)\right|^{1 / 2}\right) \varphi d x=\int_{\Omega}\left(\varepsilon+\left[k_{\varepsilon}^{+}(t)\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right|^{2} \varphi d x\right\} \\
\text { for a. e. } t \in[0, T], \quad \forall \varphi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

To prove the bounds on $u_{\varepsilon}$ (cf. (3.7)), put

$$
\lambda_{*}=\min \left\{0, \underset{\Omega}{\operatorname{ess} \inf } u_{0}\right\}, \quad \lambda^{*}=\max \left\{0, \underset{\Omega}{\operatorname{ess} \sup } u_{0}\right\}
$$

Then, for a. e. $t \in[0, T], v=\left(u_{\varepsilon}(\cdot, t)-\lambda_{*}\right)^{-} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. From (3.19) it follows that

$$
\left\langle u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t),\left(u_{\varepsilon}(t)-\lambda_{*}\right)^{-}\right\rangle_{W^{-1, p^{\prime}}, W_{0}^{1, p}} \leq 0 \quad \text { for a. e. } t \in[0, T]
$$

Thus $u_{\varepsilon}-\lambda_{*} \geq 0$ a. e. in $Q_{T}$. Analogously, $u_{\varepsilon}-\lambda^{*} \leq 0$ a. e. in $Q_{T}$. Finally, the function $\varphi=k_{\varepsilon}^{-}(\cdot, t)$ being admissible in (3.21) we find

$$
\left\langle k_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t), k_{\varepsilon}^{-}(t)\right\rangle_{\left(W^{1,2}\right)^{*}, W^{1,2}} \leq 0 \quad \text { for a. e. } t \in[0, T]
$$

and therefore $k_{\varepsilon} \geq 0$ a. e. in $Q_{T}$.
The pair $\left(u_{\varepsilon}, k_{\varepsilon}\right)$ verifies (3.5)-(3.10) of Proposition 2.

## 4. Proof of the existence theorem

### 4.1 A-priori estimates

Let $u_{0} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and let $k_{0} \in L^{1}(\Omega), k_{0} \geq 0$ a.e. in $\Omega$. Fix $p>4$. For every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $u_{\varepsilon, 0} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ and $k_{0, \varepsilon} \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min \left\{0, \underset{\Omega}{\operatorname{erssinf}} u_{0}\right\} \leq u_{\varepsilon, 0} \leq \max \left\{0, \underset{\Omega}{\operatorname{ess} \sup } u_{0}\right\}, \quad k_{0, \varepsilon} \geq 0 \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega, \\
& u_{0, \varepsilon} \rightarrow u_{0} \quad \text { in } \quad L^{2}(\Omega), \quad k_{0, \varepsilon} \rightarrow k_{0} \quad \text { in } \quad L^{1}(\Omega) \quad \text { as } \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then from Proposition 2 it follows that there exists a pair $\left(u_{\varepsilon}, k_{\varepsilon}\right)$ that verifies (3.5)-(3.10) with $u_{0, \varepsilon}$ and $k_{0, \varepsilon}$ in place of $u_{0}$ and $k_{0}$, respectively.

For notational simplicity, throughout the present section we omit the index $\varepsilon$ at $u_{\varepsilon}$ and $k_{\varepsilon}$. Without any further reference, in all of Section 4.1 , let $0<\varepsilon \leq 1$.
(i) We insert $v=u(\cdot, t) \quad(0 \leq t \leq T)$ into (3.8) and integrate over [0, $t$. It follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{t \in[0, T]} \int_{\Omega} u^{2}(x, t) d x+\int_{Q_{T}}\left(\left(\varepsilon+[k]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}+\varepsilon|\nabla u|^{p-2}\right)|\nabla u|^{2} \leq \frac{3}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_{0}^{2}(x) d x \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Let $0<\delta<1$. We define

$$
\phi_{1}(\xi)=\phi_{1 ; \varepsilon, \delta}(\xi)=\int_{0}^{\xi}\left(1-\frac{1}{(\varepsilon+s)^{\delta}}\right) d s, \quad 0 \leq \xi<+\infty
$$

Observing that $\phi_{1} \in C^{2}\left(\left[0,+\infty[)\right.\right.$ with $\phi_{1}^{\prime}$ uniformly bounded on [0, + $[$ one obtains by the aid of the chain rule for Sobolev functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle k^{\prime}(s), \phi_{1}^{\prime}(k(s))\right\rangle_{\left(W^{1,2}\right)^{*}, W^{1,2}} d s & =\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(\int_{\Omega} \phi_{1}(k(x, s)) d x\right) d s= \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \phi_{1}(k(x, t)) d x-\int_{\Omega} \phi_{1}\left(k_{0}(x)\right) d x \quad \forall t \in[0, T]
\end{aligned}
$$

Take $\varphi=\phi_{1}^{\prime}(k(\cdot, t))$ in (3.9). Integration over [0, $t$ ] gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} \phi_{1}(k(x, t)) d x+\delta \int_{Q_{t}}\left(\mu+\left(\varepsilon+[k]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \frac{|\nabla k|^{2}}{(\varepsilon+k)^{1+\delta}}+\int_{Q_{t}}\left(\varepsilon k+k^{3 / 2}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{(\varepsilon+k)^{\delta}}\right)= \\
& \quad=\int_{Q_{t}}\left(\varepsilon+[k]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}|\nabla u|^{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{(\varepsilon+k)^{\delta}}\right)+\int_{\Omega} \phi_{1}\left(k_{0}(x)\right) d x \\
& \quad \leq \frac{3}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_{0}^{2}(x) d x \quad(\text { by }(4.1)) . \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

With the help of the elementary inequalities

$$
\xi \geq \phi_{1}(\xi) \geq \frac{\xi}{2}-c_{1}(\delta), \quad \xi^{a}\left(1-\frac{1}{(\varepsilon+\xi)^{\delta}}\right) \geq \frac{\xi^{a}}{2}-c_{2}(q, \delta) \quad \forall \xi \in\left[0,+\infty\left[\quad\left(a=1, a=\frac{3}{2}\right)\right.\right.
$$

$\left(c_{1}(\delta)=\right.$ const $>0, c_{2}(a, \delta)=$ const $>0$ independent of $\left.\varepsilon\right)$ we infer from (4.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|k\|_{L^{\infty}\left(L^{1}\right)}+\|k\|_{L^{3 / 2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{3 / 2}+\delta \mu \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{|\nabla k|^{2}}{(1+k)^{1+\delta}} \leq c \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) From (4.1) and (4.3) one easily deduces an estimate on $\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(W^{\left.-1, p^{\prime}\right)}\right.}$. Indeed, (3.8) implies, for a. e. $t \in[0, T]$ and all $v \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left\langle u^{\prime}(t), v\right\rangle_{W^{-1, p^{\prime}}, W_{0}^{1, p}}\right| \leq \\
& \leq\left\{(\operatorname{mes} \Omega)^{p /(p-4)}\|\varepsilon+k\|_{L^{\infty}\left(L^{1}\right)}^{1 / 4}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\varepsilon+[k(t)]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}|\nabla u(t)|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\varepsilon\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(t)|^{p} d x\right)^{(p-1) / p}\right\}\|\nabla v\|_{L^{p} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

By (4.1) and (4.3), the function in brackets $\{\ldots\}$ is uniformly bounded with respect to the norm in $L^{p^{\prime}}(0, T)$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(W^{-1, p^{\prime}}\right)} \leq c \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) We deduce from (3.9) an estimate on $\int_{Q_{T}}|\nabla u|^{2}$ in terms of integral norms of $k$. To this end, we define

$$
\phi_{2}(\xi)=\phi_{2 ; \varepsilon}(\xi)=\int_{0}^{\xi} \frac{d s}{\left(\varepsilon+[s]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}}, \quad 0 \leq \xi<+\infty
$$

Clearly, $\phi_{2} \in C^{1}\left(\left[0,+\infty[)\right.\right.$ and $0 \leq \phi_{2}^{\prime}(\xi) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1 / 2}}$ for all $\xi \in[0,+\infty[$. As above,

$$
\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle k^{\prime}(t), \phi_{2}^{\prime}(k(s))\right\rangle_{\left(W^{1,2}\right)^{*}, W^{1,2}} d s=\int_{\Omega} \phi_{2}(k(x, t)) d x-\int_{\Omega} \phi_{2}\left(k_{0}(x)\right) d x \quad \forall t \in[0, T] .
$$

Next, since $\phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ is continuous on $\left[0,+\infty\left[\backslash\left\{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right\}\right.\right.$, we have

$$
\nabla \phi_{2}^{\prime}(k(\cdot, t))=-\frac{\nabla[k(\cdot, t)]_{\varepsilon}}{2\left(\varepsilon+[k(\cdot, t)]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{3 / 2}} \quad \text { a.e. in } \quad \Omega .
$$

Thus, from (3.9) with $\varphi=\phi_{2}^{\prime}(k(\cdot, t))$ therein it follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} \phi_{2}\left(k_{0}(x)\right) d x+\int_{Q_{t}}|\nabla u|^{2}= & \int_{\Omega} \phi_{2}(k(x, t)) d x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_{t}}\left(\mu+\left(\varepsilon+[k]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \frac{\nabla k \cdot \nabla[k]_{\varepsilon}}{\left(\varepsilon+[k]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{3 / 2}} \\
& +\int_{Q_{t}} \frac{\varepsilon k+k^{3 / 2}}{\left(\varepsilon+[k]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}} \quad \forall t \in[0, T] \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly, the second term on the right hand side of (4.5) is $\leq 0$, while the third term on the right hand side of (4.5) is easily estimated by

$$
\int_{Q_{t}} \frac{\varepsilon k+k^{3 / 2}}{\left(\varepsilon+[k]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}} \leq \varepsilon^{1 / 2} \int_{Q_{t}} k\left(1+k^{1 / 2}\right)+\int_{Q_{t}} k
$$

The integrals in (4.5) which involve $\phi_{2}$, can be estimated by observing the definition of $[\xi]_{\varepsilon}$ (with $\xi=k_{0}(x)$ and $\left.\xi=k(x, t)\right)$ as follows

$$
\int_{\Omega} \phi_{2}\left(k_{0}(x)\right) d x \geq 2 \int_{\left\{k_{0}(x) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right\}} k_{0}^{1 / 2}(x) d x-2 \varepsilon^{1 / 2} \operatorname{mes} \Omega
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \phi_{2}(k(x, t)) d x & =2 \int_{\left\{k(x, t) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right\}}\left[(\varepsilon+k(x, t))^{1 / 2}-\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right] d x \\
& +2 \int_{\left\{k(x, t)>\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right\}}\left[\left(\varepsilon+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}-\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right] d x+\frac{\varepsilon^{1 / 2}}{\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \int_{\left\{k(x, t)>\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right\}}\left(k(x, t)-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) d x \\
& \leq 2 \int_{\Omega} k^{1 / 2}(x, t) d x+\varepsilon^{1 / 2} \int_{\Omega} k(x, t) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $t \in[0, T]$. Thus, from (4.5) it follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \int_{\left\{k(x, t) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right\}} k_{0}^{1 / 2}(x) d x-2 \varepsilon^{1 / 2} \operatorname{mes} \Omega+\int_{Q_{t}}|\nabla u|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq 2 \int_{\Omega} k^{1 / 2}(x, t) d x+\int_{Q_{t}} k+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{\Omega} k(x, t) d x+\int_{Q_{t}} k\left(1+k^{1 / 2}\right)\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $t \in[0, T]$. Hence, by (4.3), $\int_{Q_{T}}|\nabla u|^{2}$ is uniformly bounded by constant that does not depend on $\varepsilon$.
(v) We now deduce from (3.9) an estimate on $\int_{Q_{T}}|\nabla k|^{q},\left(1 \leq q<\frac{4}{3}\right)$. For the time being, let $1 \leq q<n(n=2,3, \ldots)$. Combining Hölder's inequality and Sobolev's embedding theorem we obtain, for all $w \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{q}\left(0, T ; W^{1, q}(\Omega)\right)$,

$$
\int_{Q_{T}}|w|^{(1+n) q / n} \leq c\|w\|_{L^{\infty}\left(L^{1}\right)}^{q / n}\left(\|w\|_{L^{\infty}\left(L^{1}\right)}^{q}+\|\nabla w\|_{\left[L^{q}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right]^{n}}^{q}\right) .
$$

Thus, taking into account (4.3), we find, for any $1 \leq q \leq 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q_{T}} k^{3 q / 2} \leq c\left(1+\int_{Q_{T}}|\nabla k|^{q}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(recall that $k \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{1,2}(\Omega)\right)$, cf. Prop. 2).
To proceed, let $1<q<\frac{4}{3}$ and define $\delta=\delta_{q}=\frac{4-3 q}{2}$. Then $0<\delta<1$ and $\frac{(1+\delta) q}{2-q}=\frac{3 q}{2}$. Hence, by (4.3) and (4.7),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q_{T}}|\nabla k|^{q} & \leq\left(\int_{Q_{T}} \frac{|\nabla k|^{2}}{(1+k)^{1+\delta}}\right)^{q / 2}\left(\int_{Q_{T}}(1+k)^{(1+\delta) q /(2-q)}\right)^{(2-q) / 2} \\
& \leq c\left(\frac{1}{\delta \mu}\right)^{q / 2}\left(1+\left(\int_{Q_{T}}|\nabla k|^{q}\right)^{(2-q) / 2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q_{T}}|\nabla k|^{q} \leq c \quad \forall 1<q<\frac{4}{3} \quad\left(c=c(q) \rightarrow+\infty \text { as } q \rightarrow \frac{4}{3}\right) . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(vi) In order to prove that $[k]_{\varepsilon}^{1 / 2} \nabla k$ is uniformly bounded in $\left[L^{r}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right]^{2}$ for some $r>1$, we consider the functions

$$
\phi_{3}(\xi)=\phi_{3 ; \varepsilon}(\xi)=\int_{0}^{\xi}[s]_{\varepsilon}^{1 / 2} d s, \quad \phi_{4}(\xi)=\phi_{4 ; \varepsilon}(\xi)=\int_{0}^{\xi}\left(1-\frac{1}{\left(1+\phi_{3}(s)\right)^{\gamma}}\right) d s, \quad 0 \leq \xi<+\infty,
$$

where $0<\gamma<1$ will be specified below. Obviously, $\phi_{4} \in C^{2}\left(\left[0,+\infty[)\right.\right.$. The function $\varphi=\phi_{4}^{\prime}(k(\cdot, t))$ is admissible in (3.9). Observing that

$$
\nabla \varphi=\frac{\gamma[k(\cdot, t)]_{\varepsilon}^{1 / 2}}{\left(1+\phi_{3}(k(\cdot, t))\right)^{1+\gamma}} \nabla k(\cdot, t) \quad \text { a.e. in } \quad \Omega,
$$

it follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} \phi_{4}(k(x, T)) d x+\gamma \int_{Q_{T}}\left(\mu+\left(\varepsilon+[k]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \frac{[k]_{\varepsilon}^{1 / 2}|\nabla k|^{2}}{\left(1+\phi_{3}(k)\right)^{1+\gamma}}+\int_{Q_{T}}\left(\varepsilon k+k^{3 / 2}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{\left(1+\phi_{3}(k)\right)^{\gamma}}\right) \\
& =\int_{Q_{T}}\left(\varepsilon+[k]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}|\nabla u|^{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\left(1+\phi_{3}(k)\right)^{\gamma}}\right)+\int_{\Omega} \phi_{4}\left(k_{0}(x)\right) d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{3}{2} u_{0}^{2}(x)+k_{0}(x)\right) d x \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

We notice that a test function of the type $\varphi=\phi_{4}^{\prime}(k)$ has been used in [6].
To proceed, put $w=\phi_{3}(k)$. We have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\int_{Q_{T}} w^{q} \leq c \quad \forall 1 \leq q<\frac{4}{3} \quad[\text { by (4.7) and (4.8) }], \\
\gamma \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{|\nabla w|^{2}}{(1+w)^{1+\gamma}} \leq c \quad \forall 0<\gamma<1 \quad[\text { by (4.9) }] . \tag{4.10}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

We take $1 \leq r<\frac{8}{7}$ and $0<\gamma<\frac{8-7 r}{3 r}$. Then $1<\frac{(1+\gamma) r}{2-r}<\frac{4}{3}$. From (4.10) with $q=\frac{(1+\gamma) r}{2-r}$ we obtain by the same argument as (4.8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|w\|_{L^{r}\left(W^{1, r}\right)} \leq c \quad \forall 1 \leq r<\frac{8}{7} \quad\left(c=c(r) \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow \frac{8}{7}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We show that (4.1) and (4.11) imply the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w^{2 / 3}\right\|_{L^{\rho}\left(Q_{T}\right)} \leq c \quad \forall 1 \leq \rho<\frac{16}{7} \quad\left(c=c(\rho) \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as } \quad \rho \rightarrow \frac{16}{7}\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, put $z=w^{2 / 3}$. $\mathrm{By}(4.1),\|z\|_{L^{\infty}\left(L^{1}\right)} \leq c$. On the other hand, by Sobolev's embedding theorem and (4.11),

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\|z\|_{L^{3 r /(2-r)}}^{3 r / 2} d t=\int_{0}^{T}\|w\|_{L^{2 r /(2-r)}}^{r} d t \leq \int_{0}^{T}\|w\|_{W^{1, r}}^{r} d t \leq c
$$

Thus, by interpolation,

$$
\|z\|_{L^{2 r}\left(L^{2 r}\right)} \leq\|z\|_{L^{3 r / 2}\left(L^{3 r /(2-r)}\right)}^{3 / 4}\|z\|_{L^{\infty}\left(L^{1}\right)}^{1 / 4} \leq c \quad \forall 1 \leq r<\frac{8}{7}
$$

Whence, (4.12).
(vii) We finally prove an a-priori estimate on $\left\|k^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\left(W^{\left.\left.1, r^{\prime}\right)^{*}\right)}\right.\right.}\left(1<r<\frac{8}{7}\right)$. We insert $\varphi \in$ $W^{1, r^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ into (3.9). Taking into account that $\max _{\Omega}|\varphi| \leq c\|\varphi\|_{W^{1, r^{\prime}}}$ it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left\langle k^{\prime}(t), \varphi\right\rangle_{\left(W^{1, r^{\prime}}\right)^{*}, W^{1, r^{\prime}}}\right|=\left|\left\langle k^{\prime}(t), \varphi\right\rangle_{\left(W^{1,2}\right)^{*}, W^{1,2}}\right| \\
& \leq\left\{\left(\int_{\Omega}\left[\left(\mu+\left(\varepsilon+[k(t)]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)|\nabla k(t)|\right]^{r} d x\right)^{1 / r}+\right. \\
& \left.\quad+c \int_{\Omega}\left(\varepsilon k(t)+k^{3 / 2}(t)+\left(\varepsilon+[k(t)]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}|\nabla u(t)|^{2}\right) d x\right\}\|\varphi\|_{W^{1, r^{\prime}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Estimates (4.1), (4.3), (4.8) and (4.11) show that the function in brackets $\{\ldots\}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ for all $0<\varepsilon \leq 1$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|k^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\left(W^{1, r^{\prime}}\right)^{*}\right)} \leq c \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.2 Passage to the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

Firstly, from (4.1), (4.3) (combined with (4.6) and (4.4)) we obtain a subsequence of ( $u_{\varepsilon}$ ) (not relabelled) and an element $h \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)\right) \text { and weakly } * \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{4.14}\\
\left.u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime} \quad \text { weakly in } L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right), u_{\varepsilon}(T) \rightarrow h \text { weakly in } L^{2}(\Omega)\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. In addition, again by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u \quad \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right) \text { and a.e. in } Q_{T} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ (see, e. g., [10; Chap. 1, Thm. 5.1], [22; Cor. 4]). The passage to the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the inequality on $u_{\varepsilon}$ in (3.7) gives the inequality on $u$ in (2.22).

Secondly, to select an appropriate subsequence of $\left(k_{\varepsilon}\right)$ we notice that $W^{1, q}(\Omega) \subset L^{2}(\Omega) \quad(1<$ $\left.q<\frac{4}{3}\right)$ compactly and $L^{2}(\Omega) \cong\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{*} \subset\left(W^{1, r^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)^{*} \quad\left(1<r<\frac{8}{7}\right)$ continuously. Then (4.3), (4.8) and (4.13) imply the existence of a subsequence of $\left(k_{\varepsilon}\right)$ such that
$k_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u \quad$ weakly in $L^{q}\left(0, T ; W^{1, q}(\Omega)\right)$ strongly in $L^{q}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ and a.e. in $Q_{T}$
as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ (see [22; Cor.4]). Clearly, $k \geq 0$ a.e. in $Q_{T}$. With the help of these convergence properties we conclude from (4.1), (4.3), (4.11) and (4.12) by routine arguments that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varepsilon+\left[k_{\varepsilon}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 4} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow k^{1 / 4} \nabla u \quad \text { weakly in }\left[L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right]^{2}, \\
\frac{\nabla k \varepsilon}{\left(1+k_{\varepsilon}\right)^{(1+\delta) / 2}} \rightarrow \frac{\nabla k}{(1+k)^{(1+\delta) / 2} \quad \text { weakly in }\left[L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right]^{2},}  \tag{4.17}\\
{\left[k_{\varepsilon}\right]_{\varepsilon}^{1 / 2} \nabla k_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow k^{1 / 2} \nabla k \quad \text { weakly in }\left[L^{r}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right]^{2} \quad\left(1<r<\frac{8}{7}\right),} \\
w_{\varepsilon}^{2 / 3}=\left(\phi_{3}\left(k_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)^{2 / 3} \rightarrow \frac{2}{3} k \quad \text { weakly in } L^{\rho}\left(Q_{T}\right) \quad\left(1<\rho<\frac{16}{7}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ (cf. part. (vi) of the a-priori estimates). To obtain $\nabla\left(k^{3 / 2}\right)=\frac{3}{2} k^{1 / 2} \nabla k$ we have made use of an elementary extension of the usual chain rule for Sobolev functions for the case $\phi(\xi)=\xi^{3 / 2}$ $(\xi \in[0,+\infty[)$.

Next, from (3.8) and (3.9) we conclude that, for all $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{2}(x, t) d x+\int_{Q_{t}}\left(\varepsilon+\left[k_{\varepsilon}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_{0}^{2}(x) d x,  \tag{4.18}\\
& \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{2} u_{\varepsilon}^{2}(x, t)+k_{\varepsilon}(x, t)\right) d x+\int_{Q_{t}} k^{3 / 2} \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{2} u_{0}^{2}(x)+k_{0}(x)\right) d x . \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

To pass to the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (4.3), (4.6) and (4.18), (4.19), we notice as prototype the following elementary result:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Let }\left(f_{m}\right) \subset L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right),\left(g_{m}\right) \subset L^{p}\left(Q_{T}\right)(1<p<+\infty) \text { be sequences such that } \\
& f_{m} \geq 0, g_{m} \geq 0 \text { a.e. in } Q_{T}, \quad \int_{\Omega} f_{m}(x, t) d x+\int_{Q_{t}} g_{m}^{p} \leq C_{0}=\text { const for a.e. } t \in[0, T] \\
& (m=1,2, \ldots) \text {, and }  \tag{4.20}\\
& f_{m} \rightarrow f \text { weakly in } L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right), \quad g_{m} \rightarrow g \text { weakly in } L^{p}\left(Q_{T}\right) \text { as } m \rightarrow+\infty . \\
& \text { Then } \quad \int_{\Omega} f(x, t) d x+\int_{Q_{t}} g^{p} \leq C_{0} \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T] .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, combining (4.16), (4.17) and (4.20) with (4.6), (4.18), (4.19) one easily deduces (2.16) and (2.23)-(2.25).

By (4.14) and (4.17), from (3.8) it follows (first for $v \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$ and then by approximation ) that

$$
-\int_{Q_{T}} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+\int_{Q_{T}} k^{1 / 2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v=\int_{\Omega} u_{0}(x) v(x) d x
$$

for all $v \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1,4}(\Omega)\right)$ with $\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \in L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right), v(\cdot, T)=0$ (cf. (2.5)). Moreover, there exist the distributional derivative $u^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{-1,4 / 3}(\Omega)\right)$, and $u(0)=u_{0}$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ (cf. Proposition 1, Section 2.2).

Next, we prove $h=u(T)$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ (cf. (4.14)). Indeed, for every $v \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(h, v)_{L^{2}}-\left(u_{0}, v\right)_{L^{2}} & =\lim \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t), v\right\rangle_{W^{-1, p^{\prime}}, W_{0}^{1, p}} d t=\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle u^{\prime}(t), v\right\rangle_{W^{-1, p^{\prime}}, W_{0}^{1, p}} d t \\
& =(u(T), v)_{L^{2}}-\left(u_{0}, v\right)_{L^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Whence the claim.
It remains to carry out the passage to the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (3.9), where we write

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle k_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, \varphi\right\rangle_{\left(W^{1,2}\right)^{*}, W^{1,2}} d t=-\int_{Q_{T}} k_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}
$$

with appropriate test functions $\varphi$ (to be specified below). Here, the passage to the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ of the $L^{1}$-term on the right hand side in (3.9) is the only crucial point.

To do this, let $\zeta \in C_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \zeta \geq 0$ in $\Omega$. By (4.17), $\left(\varepsilon+\left[k_{\varepsilon}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 4}\left(\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right) \zeta^{1 / 2} \rightarrow k^{1 / 4}(\nabla u) \zeta^{1 / 2}$ weakly in $\left[L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right]^{2}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q_{T}} k^{1 / 2}|\nabla u|^{2} \zeta \leq \liminf \int_{Q_{T}}\left(\varepsilon+\left[k_{\varepsilon}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \zeta \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the function $v=u_{\varepsilon} \zeta$ being admissible in (3.8), we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q_{T}}\left(\varepsilon+\left[k_{\varepsilon}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \zeta \leq \\
& \leq-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{2}(x, T) \zeta(x) d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_{0}^{2}(x) \zeta(x)-\int_{Q_{T}}\left(\left(\varepsilon+\left[k_{\varepsilon}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}+\varepsilon\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p-2}\right)\left(\nabla u_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \zeta\right) u_{\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by (4.14) (recall $h=u(T)$ in $\left.L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ and (4.15),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim \sup \int_{Q_{T}}\left(\varepsilon+\left[k_{\varepsilon}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \zeta \leq \\
& \leq-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2}(x, T) \zeta(x) d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_{0}^{2}(x) d x-\int_{Q_{T}} k^{1 / 2}(\nabla u \cdot \nabla \zeta) u \\
& =\int_{Q_{T}} k^{1 / 2}|\nabla u|^{2} \zeta \quad[\text { by the local energy equality }(\text { A.9 })] \\
& \leq \liminf \int_{Q_{T}}\left(\varepsilon+\left[k_{\varepsilon}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \zeta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by (4.21),

$$
\lim \int_{Q_{T}}\left(\varepsilon+\left[k_{\varepsilon}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \zeta=\int_{Q_{T}} k^{1 / 2}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \zeta
$$

This equality continues to hold for all $\zeta \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega), \zeta \geq 0$ a. e. in $\Omega$ (recall $p>4$ ). It follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\lim \int_{Q_{T}}\left|\left(\varepsilon+\left[k_{\varepsilon}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\right| \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} z \alpha-k^{1 / 2}|\nabla u|^{2} z \alpha \mid=0 \quad \forall z \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega), \forall \alpha \in L^{\infty}(0, T) \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varphi \in C\left([0, T] ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$. Then there exist $\left.\varphi_{m}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} z_{m_{j}} t^{j}\left(z_{m_{j}} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) ; m=1,2, \ldots\right)$ such that $\varphi_{m} \rightarrow \varphi$ in $C\left([0, T] ; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$. Thus, by (4.22),

$$
\lim \int_{Q_{T}}\left(\varepsilon+\left[k_{\varepsilon}\right]_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} v=\int_{Q_{T}} k^{1 / 2}|\nabla u|^{2} v
$$

From (3.9) it now follows that

$$
-\int_{Q_{T}} k \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}+\int_{Q_{T}}\left(\mu+k^{1 / 2}\right) \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi=\int_{\Omega} k_{0}(x) \varphi(x, 0) d x+\int_{Q_{T}}\left(k^{1 / 2}|\nabla u|^{2}-k^{3 / 2}\right) \varphi
$$

for all $\varphi \in C^{1}\left([0, T] ; W_{0}^{1, s^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right) \quad\left(1<s<\frac{8}{7}\right)$ such that $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \in L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and $\varphi(T)=0$. Finally, by Proposition 1 (with $W_{0}^{1, s^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ in place of $W^{1, q^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ in (2.6)),

$$
\exists k^{\prime} \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; W^{-1, s}(\Omega)\right), \quad k(0)=k_{0} \quad \text { in } \quad W^{-1, s}(\Omega)
$$

The proof of the Theorem is complete.

## 5. Appendix. A local energy equality for weak solutions of linear parabolic equations with unbounded coefficients

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary $\partial \Omega$, and let $0<T<+\infty$. For $\left.\left.t \in\right] 0, T\right]$, put $\left.Q_{t}=\Omega \times\right] 0, t[$. We consider the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-\operatorname{div}((a+b) \nabla u)=0 \quad \text { in } \quad Q_{T}, \quad u=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega \times[0, T], \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|a| \leq$ Const in $Q_{T}$, and $b$ is a non-negative, possibly unbounded function. Our aim is to prove a local energy equality for weak solutions of (A.1). This equality can be motivated by multiplying the differential equation in (A.1) by $u \zeta\left(\zeta \in C_{\mathrm{c}}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ and integrating by parts over $\Omega$.

Proposition A (local energy equality) Let $a \in L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and let b be a measurable function in $Q_{T}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b \geq 0 \quad \text { a.e. in } Q_{T}, \quad b^{2} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{1}(\Omega)\right), \quad \nabla\left(b^{1 / 2}\right) \in\left[L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right]^{2} . \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u \in L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right) \cap C_{w}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)\right)$ verify

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\int_{Q_{T}} b|\nabla u|^{2}<+\infty, \quad \exists u^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{-1,4 / 3}(\Omega)\right), \\
\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle u^{\prime}, v\right\rangle_{W^{-1,4 / 3}, W_{0}^{1,4}} d s+\int_{Q_{t}}(a+b) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v d x=0 \quad \forall t \in[0, T],  \tag{A.4}\\
\quad \forall v \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1,4}(\Omega)\right) .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Then

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2}(x, t) \zeta(x) d x+\int_{Q_{t}}(a+b)\left(|\nabla u|^{2} \zeta+u \nabla \cdot \nabla \zeta\right)=  \tag{A.5}\\
=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2}(x, 0) \zeta(x) d x \quad \forall t \in[0, T], \quad \forall \zeta \in C_{\mathrm{c}}^{1}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

We emphasize that $v=u$ is not an admissible test function in (A.4).
From Proposition A we draw a conclusion which has been fundamental to pass to the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the $L^{1}$-term on the right hand side of the functional relation in (3.9).

Corollary Let $k$ be a measurable function in $Q_{T}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \geq 0 \quad \text { a.e. in } Q_{T}, \quad k \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{1}(\Omega)\right), \quad \delta \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{|\nabla k|^{2}}{(1+k)^{1+\delta}}<+\infty \quad(0<\delta<1) . \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u \in L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right) \cap C_{w}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)\right)$ verify

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\int_{Q_{T}} k^{1 / 2}|\nabla u|^{2}<+\infty, \quad \exists u^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{-1,4 / 3}(\Omega)\right) \\
\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle u^{\prime}, v\right\rangle_{W^{-1,4 / 3}, W_{0}^{1,4}} d s+\int_{Q_{t}} k^{1 / 2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v d x=0 \quad \forall t \in[0, T],  \tag{A.8}\\
\forall v \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1,4}(\Omega)\right) .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Then

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2}(x, t) \zeta(x) d x+\int_{Q_{t}} k^{1 / 2}\left(|\nabla u|^{2} \zeta+u \nabla u \cdot \nabla \zeta\right)= \\
=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2}(x, 0) \zeta(x) d x \quad \forall t \in[0, T], \quad \forall \zeta \in C_{\mathrm{c}}^{1}(\Omega) . \tag{A.9}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## Proof of the corollary. Define

$$
a=k^{1 / 2}-(1+k)^{1 / 2}, \quad b=(1+k)^{1 / 2}
$$

Then

$$
a+b=k^{1 / 2}, \quad-1 \leq a \leq 0 \quad \text { a.e. in } \quad Q_{T}
$$

By (A.6) (with $\delta=\frac{1}{2}$ therein),

$$
b \geq 1 \quad \text { a.e. in } Q_{T}, \quad b^{2} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{1}(\Omega)\right), \quad \int_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla\left(b^{1 / 2}\right)\right|^{2}<+\infty .
$$

Thus, (A.6) and (A.7) permit to apply Proposition A to (A.8). This gives (A.9).
We notice that the decomposition

$$
k^{1 / 2}=\left(k^{1 / 2}-(1+k)^{1 / 2}\right)+(1+k)^{1 / 2}, \quad \text { where } \quad \nabla(1+k)^{1 / 4} \in L^{2}
$$

has been used in [14], [15] for the study of the steady case of the model problem (1.12), (1.13) (cf. the paper in [7], where the coefficient (eddy viscosity) $k^{1 / 2}$ is not included).

Before passing to the proof of Proposition A, we introduce more notations (cf. Section 2.2). Let $w \in L^{p}(0, T ; X)(1 \leq p<+\infty)$. Given any $\left.t_{0} \in\right] 0, T[$, for $\lambda \in] 0, T-t_{0}$ [ we introduce the Steklov mean $w_{\lambda}$ of $w$

$$
w_{\lambda}(t)=\frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{t}^{t+\lambda} w(s) d s, \quad t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right]
$$

The following properties of $w_{\lambda}$ are well-known.
(i) $w_{\lambda} \rightarrow w$ in $L^{p}(0, T ; X)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$;
(ii) there exists the distributional derivative $w_{\lambda}^{\prime} \in L^{p}\left(0, t_{0} ; X\right)$, where

$$
w_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{\lambda}(w(t+\lambda)-w(t)) \quad \text { for a. e. } \quad t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right]
$$

(cf., e. g., [3; Appendice], [5]).
Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space with scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{H}$ and continuous embedding $X \subset H$. Let $w \in L^{p}(0, T ; X) \quad(2 \leq p<+\infty)$ has the distributional derivative $w^{\prime} \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; X^{*}\right)$. Then, for every $v \in L^{p}(0, T ; X)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left\langle w^{\prime}, v\right\rangle_{X^{*}, X} d t=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left(w(t+\lambda)-w(t), v_{\lambda}(t)\right)_{H} d t \tag{A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Proposition $A$ Let $\omega_{\rho}(x)=\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} \omega\left(\frac{x}{\rho}\right) \quad\left(x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, \rho>0\right)$ denote the standard mollifying kernel. We extend $u(\cdot, t)$ by zero onto $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \Omega$ and denote this extension again by $u(\cdot, t)$.

Let $\zeta \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), \operatorname{supp}(\zeta) \subset \Omega$. Put $d_{\zeta}=\operatorname{dist}(\operatorname{supp}(\zeta), \partial \Omega)$. For $\left.(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times\right] 0, T[$, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\omega_{\rho} * u\right)(x, t) & :=\left(\omega_{\rho} * u(\cdot, t)\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \omega_{\rho}(x-y) u(y, t) d y \\
U_{\rho}(x, t) & =\zeta(x)\left(\omega_{\rho} * u\right)(x, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then for every $0<\rho<\frac{1}{2} d_{\zeta}$ the function $v=\omega_{\rho} * U_{\rho}$ is in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1,4}(\Omega)\right)$. Hence, by (A.10).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left\langle u^{\prime}, \omega_{\rho} * U_{\rho}\right\rangle_{W^{-1,4 / 3}, W_{0}^{1,4}} d t= \\
& =\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{0}^{t_{0}} \int_{\Omega}[u(x, t+\lambda)-u(x, t)]\left(\omega_{\rho} * U_{\rho}\right)_{\lambda}(x, t) d x d t \quad\left(t_{0} \in\right] 0, T[) \tag{A.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\lambda \in] 0, T-t_{0}[$. By Fubini's theorem, for all $(x, t) \in \Omega \times] 0, t_{0}[$,

$$
\left(\omega_{\rho} * U_{\rho}\right)_{\lambda}(x, t)=\left[\omega_{\rho} *\left(U_{\rho}\right)_{\lambda}(\cdot, t)\right](x), \quad\left(U_{\rho}\right)_{\lambda}(x, t)=\zeta(x)\left(\omega_{\rho} * u_{\lambda}(\cdot, t)\right)(x)
$$

It follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\Omega}[u(x, t+\lambda)-u(x, t)]\left(\omega_{\rho} * U_{\rho}\right)_{\lambda}(x, t) d x= \\
& =\frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(\omega_{\rho} * u(\cdot, t+\lambda)\right)(x)-\left(\omega_{\rho} * u(\cdot, t)\right)(x)\right]\left(U_{\rho}\right)_{\lambda}(x, t) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { (by Fubini's theorem; notice that } \left.\operatorname{supp}(\zeta) \cap B_{\rho}(x)=\emptyset \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)<\frac{1}{2} d_{\zeta}\right)
$$

$$
=\int_{\Omega}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\omega_{\rho} * u_{\lambda}(\cdot, t)\right)(x)\right\} \zeta(x)\left(\omega_{\rho} * u_{\lambda}(\cdot, t)\right)(x)
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(\omega_{\rho} * u_{\lambda}(\cdot, t)\right)(x)\right]^{2} \zeta(x) d x
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{0}^{t_{0}} \int_{\Omega}[u(x, t+\lambda)-u(x, t)]\left(\omega_{\rho} * U_{\rho}\right)_{\lambda}(x, t) d x d t= \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(\omega_{\rho} * u_{\lambda}\left(\cdot, t_{0}\right)\right)(x)\right]^{2} \zeta(x) d x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(\omega_{\rho} * u_{\lambda}(\cdot, 0)\right)(x)\right]^{2} \zeta(x) d x \tag{A.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Let be $0<\rho<\frac{1}{2} d_{\zeta}$ and $0 \leq t \leq t_{0}$ (fixed). We prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(\omega_{\rho} * u_{\lambda}(\cdot, t)\right)(x)\right]^{2} \zeta(x) d x=\int_{\Omega}\left[\left(\omega_{\rho} * u(\cdot, t)\right)(x)\right]^{2} \zeta(x) d x \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see this, we firstly show

$$
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0}\left(\omega_{\rho} * u_{\lambda}(\cdot, t)\right)(x)=\left(\omega_{\rho} * u(\cdot, t)\right)(x) \quad \forall x \in \operatorname{supp}(\zeta)
$$

Observing that $u \in C_{w}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$, for every $\varepsilon>0$ we find $\delta_{x, \varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} \omega_{\rho}(x-y) u(y, s) d y-\int_{\Omega} \omega_{\rho}(x-y) u(y, t) d y\right| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall s \in\left[0, t_{0}\right],|s-t| \leq \delta_{x, \varepsilon}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left(\omega_{\rho} * u_{\lambda}(\cdot, t)\right)(x)-\left(\omega_{\rho} * u(\cdot, t)\right)(x)\right|= \\
& =\frac{1}{\lambda}\left|\int_{t}^{t+\lambda} \int_{\Omega}\left[\omega_{\rho}(x-y) u(y, s)-\omega_{\rho}(x-y) u(y, t)\right] d y d s\right| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall 0<\lambda<\min \left\{\frac{1}{2} d_{\zeta}, \delta_{x, \varepsilon}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Secondly, we insert $v=\omega_{\rho} * U_{\rho}$ into (A.4) and use (A.11), combined with (A.12), (A.13). This gives, for all $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(\omega_{\rho} * u(\cdot, t)\right)(x)\right]^{2} \zeta(x) d x+\int_{Q_{t}}(a+b) \nabla u \cdot \nabla\left(\omega_{\rho} * U_{\rho}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(\omega_{\rho} * u(\cdot, 0)\right)(x)\right]^{2} \zeta(x) d x \tag{A.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

(notice that $\int_{\Omega}\left[\left(\omega_{\rho} * u\left(\cdot, t_{0}\right)\right)(x)\right]^{2} \zeta(x) d x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(\omega_{\rho} * u(\cdot, T)\right)(x)\right]^{2} \zeta(x) d x$ as $t_{0} \rightarrow T$ ).
To carry out the passage to the limit $\rho \rightarrow 0$ in (A.14) we observe that $u(\cdot, t) \in L^{2}(\Omega) \quad(t \in[0, T])$ and $u \in L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ imply

$$
\omega_{\rho} * u(\cdot, t) \rightarrow u(\cdot, t) \quad \text { strongly in } \quad L^{2}(\Omega), \quad \omega_{\rho} * U_{\rho} \rightarrow \zeta u \quad \text { strongly in } \quad L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad \rho \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Then we show

$$
\left\|\nabla\left(\omega_{\rho} * U_{\rho}\right)\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right]^{2}} \leq c, \quad\left\|b^{1 / 2} \nabla\left(\omega_{\rho} * U_{\rho}\right)\right\|_{\left[L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)\right]^{2}} \leq c,
$$

where the constants $c$ do not depend on $0<\rho<\frac{1}{2} d_{\zeta}$. This can be proved by following the idea in [7; pp. 1060-1061]. Then (A.5) is readily obtained from (A.14) by $\rho \rightarrow 0$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Lecture given on the occasion of the 70th birthday of Mario Marino, 3-4 May 2013, Catania
    *e-mail: jnaumann@math.hu-berlin.de

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Throughout the paper a repeated index implies summation over $1,2,3$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ For notational simplicity, in what follows we write $\int_{E} f$ in place of $\int_{E} f d x d t\left(E \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ In what follows, we briefly write $\|\cdot\|_{L^{q}(X)}$ in place of $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p}(0, T ; X)}$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{4}$ Here we have identified $k_{0} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ with the element in $\left(W^{1, q^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)^{*}$ (again denoted by $k_{0}$ ) which is defined by $\left\langle k_{0}, \eta\right\rangle_{\left(W^{1}, q^{\prime}\right)^{*}, W^{1}, q^{\prime}}=\int_{\Omega} k_{0} \eta d x \quad \forall \eta \in W^{1, q^{\prime}}(\Omega)$.

[^5]:    ${ }^{5}$ Without any further reference, in what follows we denote by $c$ constants which may change their numerical value from line to line.

